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| Are the analyses that are carried out well founded? |  |  |  |
| Are the authors used as references pertinent? |  |  |  |
| Overall **positive** assessment of the article’s contents. |  | | |
| **CONCLUSIONS** |  |  |  |
| Are they relevant? |  |  |  |
| Are they supported by the data provided? |  |  |  |
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| **SOURCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY** |  |  |  |
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| Favorable evaluation but with reservations, i.e., the text would require substantial modifications to be publishable. | **PUBLISHABLE BUT WITH RESERVATIONS** |  |
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